April 29, 2019 08:00 PM GMT

Cross-Asset Dispatches | Global

Melting Up Is Hard to Do Melt-ups are rare. We see key differences between the US

market today and past episodes, and think the more likely bull case would originate overseas. However, calls price in a low probability of near-term upside.

The punchbowl remains on the table... As the rally continues, investors are growing more excited about the possibility of a 'melt-up' – a further sharp move higher in the US market fuelled by a dovish Fed, stabilising data and light investor positioning.

...but melting up is hard to do: Define a 'melt-up' as a historically large move from a high starting point, and they account for just 1-2% of historical observations. Similar to today, they usually occur with the Fed on hold and yields declining. Unlike today, they usually start after *modest* returns and occur with *stronger* underlying earnings growth and *greater* levels of investor fear/negativity.

Could a 'melt-up' happen? Of course, but we'd look elsewhere: We've thought that the market was overpricing a benign 'Goldilocks' environment, and underpricing *both* tails. But we are sceptical about a US melt-up for two specific reasons: i) Our economists don't see the Fed making an 'insurance' rate cut; and ii) We are below consensus on 2019 earnings growth. While bullish investors are looking to the US, we instead think that the more likely 'bull case' lies overseas, if better growth in China pulls up other regions.

Strategy implications: While hitting our equity strategists' S&P 500 bull case of 3,000 is likely, the probability of a larger 'melt-up' is not high enough to warrant buying the market here. For investors worried they are under-exposed to a more bullish scenario, we think call options offer the best risk-adjusted way to add beta. During 'melt-ups', the S&P 500 rose an average of ~13% over three months, yet credit spreads only fell ~7bp and volatility was largely unchanged. Credit and volatility need to price in some of the risk that a speculative rush creates.

Exhibit 1: Policy is already very easy, relative to prices





MORGAN STANLEY & CO. INTERNATIONAL PLC+

Andrew Sheets STRATEGIST Andrew.Sheets@morganstanley.com	+44 20 7677-2905
Phanikiran L Naraparaju STRATEGIST Phanikiran.Naraparaju@morganstanley.com	+44 20 7677-5065
Wanting Low STRATEGIST Wanting.Low@morganstanley.com	+44 20 7425-6841
Naomi Z Poole STRATEGIST Naomi.Poole@morganstanley.com	+44 20 7425-9714

MORGAN STANLEY & CO. LLC

Serena W Tang STRATEGIST Serena.Tang@morganstanley.com

Adam Virgadamo, CFA EQUITY STRATEGIST Adam.Virgadamo@morganstanley.com

+1 212 761-1376

+1 212 761-3380



Institutional Investor Global Fixed-Income Research Polls are now open. We hope you have enjoyed our research over the past year and appreciate your support. Request your ballot.

Recent Research:

Cross-Asset Dispatches: Are You Sure That's 'Low Beta'? (14 Apr 2019)

Cross-Asset Playbook: Late-Cycle and Weaker Dollar (8 Apr 2019)

Cross-Asset Dispatches: Long-Dated Vol Lines Up (5 Apr 2019)

Cross-Asset Strategy: Global In the Flow - First Quarter Recap (2 Apr 2019)

Due to the nature of the fixed income market, the issuers or bonds of the issuers recommended or discussed in this report may not be continuously followed. Accordingly, investors must regard this report as providing stand-alone analysis and should not expect continuing analysis or additional reports relating to such issuers or bonds of the issuers.

Morgan Stanley does and seeks to do business with companies covered in Morgan Stanley Research. As a result, investors should be aware that the firm may have a conflict of interest that could affect the objectivity of Morgan Stanley Research. Investors should consider Morgan Stanley Research as only a single factor in making their investment decision.

For analyst certification and other important disclosures, refer to the Disclosure Section, located at the end of this report.

+= Analysts employed by non-U.S. affiliates are not registered with FINRA, may not be associated persons of the member and may not be subject to NASD/NYSE restrictions on communications with a subject company, public appearances and trading securities held by a research analyst account.

Melting up is hard to do

The S&P 500 declined nearly 20% from September through December 2018. In response, the Federal Reserve executed an enormous pivot in policy. This pivot, along with other positive surprises, drove a dramatic reversal, from a terrible 4Q18 to one of the best first quarters in market history.

But then something remarkable happened. As markets rallied and financial conditions eased, the Federal Reserve (along with the ECB) became *even more* accommodative. Every G10 central bank is now priced for a more dovish path through 2020 relative to the start of the year, with the US, Canada, Australia and New Zealand all priced for material outright cuts.

The rationale for this recent 'doubling-down' on easy policy has been tied to recently soft inflation readings. But investors know a punchbowl when they see one. Deliberate steps by central banks to keep policy easy in the face of strong markets have caused the familiar forces of greed and extrapolation to set in. Questions such as "Why couldn't we be significantly higher?" and "Won't investors be forced in?" are becoming more frequent. Could central bank accommodation, combined with modest investor positioning, cause stock prices to 'melt up'?



Exhibit 2: The rate market expects dovish

Exhibit 3: HF net exposure is still 'low', but has started to tick higher



Source: Morgan Stanley Prime Brokerage Strategic Content, Morgan Stanley Research

This report seeks to define a 'melt-up' in order to better analyse the conditions that allowed it, how it transpired and what came next. Our conclusions are:

- **Melting up is hard to do:** We are sceptical about the 'melt-up' story for US equities. Large moves higher from a high starting point (a melt-up) are rare, and unlike today usually follow a period of *subdued* returns and *good* earnings growth (the current environment has neither). We feel comfortable with our 2,400-3,000 bull/bear range for the S&P 500.
- Some similarities, but a better bull case overseas: True, past melt-ups have occurred when the Fed paused and 10-year rates were falling. But our economists are sceptical about the larger US-based catalyst (an 'insurance' rate cut, similar to 1995-96). Instead, we think that the most plausible bull case for the remainder of 2019 is one where better-than-expected China growth pulls up other regions.
- Melt-ups: Vol largely unchanged, credit underperforms marginally and call options



assign low probabilities: Despite the S&P 500 rising ~14% in an average 'melt-up', US BBB credit spreads only fell marginally and volatility remained largely unchanged. Current option market pricing assigns low probabilities to near-term upside, suggesting that call options are the best avenue for those looking to add beta.

What do you mean by a 'melt-up'?

Defining terms is important. Qualitatively, a 'melt-up' is a buying frenzy where stocks break out to new highs as investors rush to avoid missing out. But quantifying such a frenzy is important. For our purposes, look at two (related) measures of a 'melt-up'. Both look at defining a 'melt-up' using a three-month period where the S&P 500 made a new high:

- 1. Price-based: Large gains (top decile of three-month returns) from high levels (starting within 5% of rolling 52-week high).
- 2. Valuation-based: Large re-rating (top decile of z-score changes of S&P 500 forward P/E) from high levels (P/E of more than 2 standard deviation above its rolling threeyear average).

Both measures aim to capture large moves from high starting points. Price-based is indifferent to how the move occurs, while valuation-based is looking specifically for prices moving ahead of fundamental support. This yields 17 distinct periods for the former (back to 1980) and six distinct periods for the latter (back to 1990).







Source: Bloomberg, Morgan Stanley Research



Source: Bloomberg, Morgan Stanley Research

What happens in a melt-up?

These 'melt-up' instances, on average, saw the following:

Exhibit 6: Price-based: What happens in a melt-up?

	PRICE BASED METHODOL	LOGY	
Asset Class	Asset	Median Chg/Rtn Over S&P 500 "Melt-up"	
FOUITIES	S&P 500 (Rtn)	12.5%	
EQUITES	S&P 500 ERP (Chg)	-0.9%	
	Value vs Growth (Rtn)	-2.9%	
EQUIT SECTORS	Large Cap vs Small Cap (Rtn)	2.2%	
	DXY (Rtn)	0.2%	
FX	EURUSD (Rtn)	-1.2%	
	JPYUSD (Rtn)	0.3%	
CREDIT	BBB Credit bp (Chg)	-6	
DATES	UST 10Y bp (Chg)	2.6%	
RATES	Fed Funds bp (Chg)	0	
VOL	VXO (Chg)	-0.1	
COMMODITIES	Brent (Rtn)	2.6%	

Exhibit 7: Valuation-based: What happens in a melt-up?

VALUATION BASED METHODOLOGY						
Asset Class	Asset	Median Chg/Rtn Over S&P 500 "Melt-up"				
FOUITIES	S&P 500 (Rtn)	13.3%				
EQUITES	S&P 500 ERP (Chg)	-1.0%				
	Value vs Growth (Rtn)	-4.3%				
EQUIT SECTORS	Large Cap vs Small Cap (Rtn)	2.3%				
	DXY (Rtn)	1.3%				
FX	EURUSD (Rtn)	-2.4%				
	JPYUSD (Rtn)	-1.2%				
CREDIT	BBB Credit bp (Chg)	-8				
DATES	UST 10Y bp (Rtn)	2.0%				
RAIES	Fed Funds bp (Chg)	0				
VOL	VXO (Chg)	0.1				
COMMODITIES	Brent (Rtn)	-2.9%				
Source: Bloomberg, Morgan Stanley Research; Note: BBB credit data are						

from March 1995 onwards and other data from January 1990 onwards.

Source: Bloomberg, Morgan Stanley Research; Note: BBB credit data are from March 1995 onwards, VXO data from January 1986 onwards and other data from January 1980 onwards.

What's most notable in a typical equity 'melt-up'?

- Credit underperforms marginally (tightening only ~7bp) despite a large rally in equities;
- Large caps outperform small caps, value stocks underperform growth;
- Volatility remains largely unchanged, despite the better equity environment.

Considering the performance prior to the start of a melt-up, we'd note that we have already had a significant run in stock prices in a short period of time that has seen slowing momentum. This is not the usual pattern pre-melt-up, where we have historically seen more modest returns before a significant uptick. S&P 500 forward earnings growth (%Y) has typically started around ~12% and rose further throughout the melt-up period. Consensus earnings estimates currently sit much lower, at ~6.7%, a number we think is still too high.

Exhibit 8: Price-based: S&P performance around melt-up periods



Exhibit 9: Valuation-based: S&P performance around melt-up periods



Source: Bloomberg, Morgan Stanley Research; Note: Shows summary of melt-up episodes. Each band represents observation decile starting at 10% to 90%, solid line is median. Red line is current S&P 500 performance

Source: Bloomberg, Morgan Stanley Research; Note: Shows summary of melt-up episodes. Each band represents observation decile starting at 10% to 90%, solid line is median. Red line is current S&P 500 performance



Market sentiment is usually more bearish ahead of a melt-up than we see today. The percentage of retail investors who are bearish (per AAII) is currently 20%, below the premelt-up average of 25%. Current volatility is also much lower than what has usually existed around melt-ups.

Exhibit 10: Price-based: Sentiment before/after melt-up periods

PRICE BASED METHODOLOGY							
Indicator	Median Start Lvl	Median End Lvl	Current Lvl				
AAII BEARS	25	21	20				
VXO Index	21	19	12				
Source: Bloomberg, Morgan Stanley Research							

Exhibit 11: Valuation-based: Sentiment before/after melt-up periods

VALUATION BASED METHODOLOGY						
Indicator	Median Start Lvl	Median End Lvl	Current Lvl			
AAII BEARS	29	25	20			
VXO Index	19	20	12			

erg, Morgan S anley Source: Bloomberg, Morgan Stanley Research

Fundamentally, the environment also appears to look different. While low yields and policy rates are reminiscent of post-crisis melt-ups, real GDP growth certainly isn't.

		Valuations	when S&	P 500 Melt-ur	p Begins	Macro Enviro	onment whe	n S&P 500 Me	It-up Begins
	[S&P 500	USD	UST 10Y	US HY	Real GDP	Headline		Current
Start Date	End Date	Fwd P/E	REER	Real Ylds	Spreads	Growth	CPI	Policy Rate	Acct
04-Jun-80	28-Nov-80	n/a	n/a	-4.3	n/a	-0.8	14.4	10.8	-0.1
07-Oct-82	22-Jun-83	n/a	n/a	5.6	n/a	-1.4	5.1	10.0	0.0
04-Nov-85	21-Apr-86	9.9	n/a	6.1	n/a	4.2	3.5	8.0	-2.5
03-Nov-86	06-Apr-87	11.9	n/a	5.8	n/a	2.9	1.3	5.9	-3.1
01-May-87	25-Aug-87	13.8	n/a	4.6	n/a	3.4	3.9	6.5	-3.2
03-Mar-89	04-Oct-89	10.3	n/a	4.3	n/a	4.3	5.0	9.8	-2.1
01-Feb-95	17-Jul-95	12.5	104	4.3	336	3.5	2.9	6.0	-1.6
08-Nov-95	12-Feb-96	13.8	102	3.1	379	2.2	2.6	5.8	-1.7
12-Aug-96	18-Feb-97	14.8	103	4.0	303	4.1	2.9	5.3	-1.3
13-Mar-97	06-Aug-97	16.4	107	4.1	264	4.3	2.8	5.3	-1.6
03-Nov-97	22-Apr-98	18.4	111	4.1	266	4.5	1.8	5.5	-1.5
07-Dec-98	12-May-99	22.0	114	3.0	527	4.9	1.6	4.8	-2.3
30-Sep-03	26-Jan-04	17.3	118	1.6	517	3.3	2.3	1.0	-4.5
02-Mar-07	01-Jun-07	14.8	108	1.8	279	1.5	2.8	5.3	-5.7
15-Nov-10	18-Feb-11	12.7	96	1.7	557	2.6	1.1	0.3	-2.9
28-Dec-12	21-May-13	12.7	96	0.1	510	1.5	1.7	0.3	-2.6
27-Oct-17	26-Jan-18	18.1	113	0.4	334	2.5	2.0	1.3	-2.3
	Average:	14.6	107	3.0	388	2.8	3.4	5.4	-2.3
	Current level:	16.6	116	0.6	356	3.2	1.9	2.5	-2.3

Exhibit 12: Price-based: Where were we then versus now?

Source: Bloomberg, Thomson Reuters, Haver Analytics, Morgan Stanley Research

Exhibit 13: Valuation-based: Where were we then versus now?

		Valuations	when S&I	P 500 Melt-up	Begins	Macro Enviro	nment whe	n S&P 500 Me	It-up Begins
		S&P 500	USD	UST 10Y	US HY	Real GDP	Headline		Current
Start Date	End Date	Fwd P/E	REER	Real Ylds	Spreads	Growth	CPI	Policy Rate	Acct
19-Jul-96	30-Dec-96	14.2	103.4	3.8	323	4.1	3.0	5.3	-1.3
01-Apr-97	30-Jul-97	15.8	107.7	4.2	269	4.3	2.5	5.5	-1.6
25-Dec-97	17-Apr-98	18.7	114.8	4.0	288	4.5	1.7	5.5	-1.6
25-Sep-98	03-Feb-99	19.5	119.1	2.9	565	4.1	1.5	5.5	-2.2
05-Feb-13	31-May-13	13.4	97	-0.1	484	1.6	2.0	0.3	-2.6
24-Nov-16	06-Mar-17	17.0	118	0.7	459	1.9	1.7	0.5	-2.3
	Average:	16.4	110	2.6	398	3.4	2.1	3.8	-1.9
	Current level:	16.6	116	0.6	356	3.2	1.9	2.5	-2.3

Source: Bloomberg, Thomson Reuters, Haver Analytics, Morgan Stanley Research

What's similar/different this time?

Adam Virgadamo

As mentioned above, it would be unusual for a melt-up to follow a run as strong as the one we've just had. What else is similar and different? History tells us that an accommodative Fed and falling rates support the case for a melt-up. However, we also see a few missing ingredients such as an uptick in earnings and economic growth expectations, fiscal relief, investor fear/bearishness and further increases in consumer confidence.

What's similar?

A Fed on hold and a falling 10-year yields helped to lift multiples in several prior meltup periods: We think that a more accommodative Fed outlook has been a big driver of the rate move year-to-date and has evoked comparisons to these late 1990s melt-ups. The final melt-up of the 1990s was a bit different from the first three as it followed a large decrease in S&P multiples, a Fed that cut aggressively and a larger decline in Treasury yields. This correction only reversed the multiple expansion of the early 1998 melt-up, but the move lower was enough to invite aggressive action by the Fed, helping markets to make one final push higher as the rate environment eased financial conditions and lowered the cost of capital. Of course, this final melt-up set the stage for a much bigger correction later. Melt-ups in the post-crisis era were a bit different from the late 1990s in that they were not fuelled by rates – in both instances we think that the market was reacting more to the removal of a fiscal overhang (fiscal cliff resolution in early 2013) or added fiscal stimulus (2016 US election), which helped to push multiples higher.





What's different?

A less robust growth outlook: The most obvious differences we found between today and melt-up periods were the growth rates of the economy and earnings. In all of the 1990s melt-ups, real GDP growth was at least 4%Y and next 12-month expected earnings growth was over 10%. The post-crisis melt-ups were different from those in the

Source: Bloomberg, FactSet, Morgan Stanley Research

1990s as they were products of fiscal policy rather than monetary policy. As such, GDP growth heading into those melt-ups was at or below today's levels, but projected earnings growth was still well above due to a combination of earnings growth momentum and the prospect for some fiscal relief/stimulus. Real GDP growth in 1Q19 was 3.2% (annualised), with a substantial contribution from inventory builds, and the current consensus forward earnings growth forecast is only about 6%. In the case of GDP, we would expect inventory drawdowns to act as a drag on 2Q and we continue to believe that earnings estimates will trend lower through the year as margin pressures continue to rise, limiting the growth support for any potential melt-up.

27.0 36.0% 25.5 32.0% 24.0 28.0% 22.5 24.0% 20.0% 끲 16.0% **g** 19.5 Fwd. 18.0 12.0% S&P 16.5 8.0% 4.0% 13.5 0.0% 12.0 -4.0% 10.5 -8.0% -12.0% 9.0 00 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11 12 13 17 18 19 96 97 98 99 01 14 15 16 Melt Up -PE (Ihs) -NTM EPS Growth (rhs) -GDP Growth (Q/Q SAAR, rhs)



Consumer confidence is higher than before most prior melt-ups: In most of the meltups in our sample, consumer confidence was rising steadily into and through the meltup. While not impossible that consumer confidence expands from here, we think it is unlikely, given its already elevated levels and its recent turn lower.



Exhibit 16: Consumer confidence tends to rise during melt-ups. Room to move higher from here?

Source: Bloomberg, Factet, Morgan Stanley Research

What's notable/worth watching

Growth, the Fed and the 'insurance rate cut' debate: Comparing prior melt-ups reinforces points we have been making this year: i) Earnings growth matters for equity performance; and ii) For risk assets, there is a difference between a Fed pause and a Fed cut. A pause is positive for risk assets as it eases financial conditions at a time when underlying economic fundamentals are not yet seeing irreversible pressure. The associated easing via credit, growth and dollar channels helps to support confidence

IDEA

Source: Bloomberg, FactSet, Morgan Stanley Research

IDEA

and risk appetite.

But if the Fed turns to cutting, it is usually because fundamental deterioration is more obvious. History suggests that, most of the time, the market positives from easier Fed policy are overwhelmed by the negatives of those weakening fundamentals, and performance has been far worse when the Fed was cutting than when it was on hold.

Like everything, of course, there are exceptions. One scenario the market has gotten more excited about in recent weeks is the 1995-96 experience when the Fed eased rates after over-tightening the previous year. Markets performed strongly as a result.

But we think that there are reasons to be sceptical of the 'insurance' cut scenario:

- **1.** Our economists believe that it remains unlikely (see Will 1.5% Inflation Bring Insurance Cuts? April 24, 2019).
- **2.** The Fed had raised rates 300bp from early 1994 to early 1995, an over-tightening that subsequent rate cuts helped to address. Today, the amount of Fed rate increases over a similar period is just 100bp.
- **3.** The economy today has less slack. Unemployment was ~5.5% as the Fed cut rates in 1995, versus 3.8% today.
- **4.** The 1995 rate cuts occurred relatively early in what was to be one of the longest expansions in US history. Today, the US expansion is nearly 10 years old.

What's in the price?

What do options markets say about the probability of a quick move higher from here? Leveraging the 'what's in the price' framework of our Global Volatility Playbook, we note that the market-implied probabilities of sharp near-term gains from current levels are low.

Exhibit 18 is a visualisation of what options imply for the range of S&P 500 outcomes over the next three months. Due to generally low overall volatility and a steep 'skew', the probability of a 5% rally is ~13%, while the probability of a 10% rally over the next three months is ~1%.

We can run a similar analysis on other markets. For instance, the UK market prices in an even lower probability of a further 5% rally, we which we show in Exhibit 19.

A co-ordinated melt-up scenario (say, all indices rallying more than 10% by year-end) is also priced cheaply. For instance, a worst-of-call digital option on Eurostoxx, NKY, SPX (110 strike) which pays off if the worst index of the three rallies 10% versus spot offers can provide a ~10:1 payout (indicative pricing only), although this is a high risk strategy.







Exhibit 18: Option-implied probability of a 5% three-month rally lowest in FTSE 100 and Eurostoxx



Source: Bloomberg, Morgan Stanley Research

Investment implications

Based on this analysis, we are sceptical that US stocks will see a further 'melt-up' from current levels. Current conditions differ from prior 'melt-ups' in a number of important ways, including large recent market gains, a low reading of investor 'fear' or bearish sentiment and our below-consensus outlook for US GDP and earnings growth over the remainder of the year. An 'insurance' rate cut by the Federal Reserve is a risk to this view, but our economists think that such a step remains unlikely (see US Economics, Rates, FX Strategy: Prospects for a New Inflation Framework, April 24, 2019).

Instead, we think that the most plausible bull case *from here* lies overseas. We are above-consensus on China growth over the remainder of the year, and think it is no coincidence that 1Q19 weakness in China corresponded to poor growth data in Europe and the rest of Asia. If policy easing in China is able to gain more traction, and if the historical ~3-month lag between China and eurozone PMIs holds, a scenario where the Fed remains on hold while the RoW grows is not hard to imagine. We think that this 'bull case' is more likely than a US-led 'melt-up'.

That said, it is important to note that **options pricing is sceptical about near-term upside of this scale in any region.** And so if one is worried about 'missing' a melt-up, we think that calls and call spreads are the best way to position for it, rather than adding highbeta stocks. And given both our preference for non-US equities and the lower volatility term premiums in these markets (versus the US), longer-dated call options in Europe, Japan and EM look even more attractive.









Source: Bloomberg, Morgan Stanley Research

Macro event calendar – next two weeks

Exhibit 21: Key global events over the next two weeks, with Morgan Stanley forecasts where applicable

Date	Time (Ldn)	Region	Event	Ref. Period	MS forecast	Market	Previous
30-Apr	02:00	CNY	Non-manufacturing PMI	Apr		54.9	54.8
30-Apr	02:00	CNY	Manufacturing PMI	Apr	50.3	50.5	50.5
30-Apr	02:45	CNY	Caixin PMI Manufacturing	Apr		50.9	50.8
30-Apr	10:00	EUR	Eurozone GDP (QoQ)	1Q A	0.2%	0.3%	0.2%
30-Apr	13:30	USD	Employment Cost Index (QoQ)	1Q	0.7%	0.7%	0.7%
30-Apr	15:00	USD	Consumer Confidence Index	Apr	126.1	126.5	124.1
1-May	01:30	JPY	PMI Manufacturing	Apr F			49.5
1-May	09:30	GBP	PMI Manufacturing	Apr	53.0	53.1	55.1
1-May	13:15	USD	ADP Employment Change	Apr		180k	129k
1-May	15:00	USD	ISM Manufacturing	Apr	54.4	55	55.3
1-May	19:00	USD	FOMC Rate Decision (Upper Bound)		2.50%	2.5%	2.5%
2-May	08:55	EUR	German PMI Manufacturing	Apr F		44.5	44.5
2-May	09:00	EUR	PMI Manufacturing	Apr F	47.8	47.8	47.8
2-May	12:00	GBP	BoE Rates Decision	·	0.75%	0.75%	0.75%
2-May	15:00	USD	Factory Orders	Mar		1.4%	-0.5%
2-May	15:00	USD	Durable Goods Orders	Mar F			2.7%
3-May	09:30	GBP	PMI Services	Apr	50.7	50.2	48.9
3-May	09:30	GBP	PMI Composite	Apr		50.6	50
3-May	10:00	EUR	CPI Core (YoY)	Apr A	1.0%	1%	0.8%
3-May	13:30	USD	Change in Nonfarm Pavrolls	Apr	233k	185k	196k
3-May	13:30	USD	Unemployment Rate	Apr	3.8%	3.8%	3.8%
3-May	13:30	USD	Average Hourly Earnings (YoY)	Apr	3.3%	3.3%	3.2%
3-May	15:00	USD	ISM Non-Manufacturing Composite	Apr	0.070	57	56.1
3-May	15:15	USD	Fed's Evans (voter) spks (Monetary Policy)				
3-May	16:30	USD	Fed's Clarida (voter) spils (Monetary Policy)				
3-May	18:45	USD	Fed's Williams (voter) spks (Monetary Policy)				
3-May	20:00	USD	Fed's Rowman (voter) spits (Monetary Policy)				
4-May	00:45		Fed's Bullard Daly (voters) Kaplan Mester (non-voters) spk (Monetary Strategies)				
6-May	02:45	CNY	PMI Comnosite	Anr			52.9
6-May	09:00	FUR	PMI Services	Apr F			52.5
7-May	N/A	CNY	Foreign Reserves	Anr			3098.8B
7-May	07:00	FUR	German Eactory Orders (MoM)	Mar	-0.4%		-4.2%
8-May	N/A	CNY	Exports (YoY)	Anr	0.170		14.2%
8-May	N/A	CNY	Trade Balance	Anr			\$32.67B
8-May	00:50	IPV	Bol Minutes	Mar-15			φ02.07 D
8-May	07:00	FUR	German Industrial Production (MoM)	Mar			0.7%
9-May	07:30		PPI (YoY)	Δnr			0.1%
9-May	13:30		Trade Balance	Mar		-53 / B	_/9 /B
9-May	13:30		Fed's Powell (voter) spks (Community Development)	IVICI	-0.40%	-00.40	-40.40
9-May	18:15		Fed's Evans (voter) spits (Community Development)		-0.4070		
10-May	00:30		Labor Cash Famings (VoV)	Mar	-0.5%	-0.6%	_0.7%
10-May	00.00	FIID	Italian Industrial Production (MoM)	Mar	-0.378	-0.078	-0.7 %
	00:00	CRD	Trade Balance	Mar			-4860m
10-May	09.30	CPD		10 P			0.2%
10-Iviay	13:30			Anr	2 1%	2 10/	1 0%
10-May	13:30		Ead's Brainard (vater) soks (Community Development)	λhi	2.1/0	2.1/0	1.3/0
05/10 05/15	13.30 N/A	CNIX		Apr			9 60/
05/10-05/15		CNIX		Apr			0.0% 1600P
00/10-00/15	IN/A	CINT	New Tuali Luais	Api			10908

Source: Morgan Stanley Research forecasts, Bloomberg. Note: P = Preliminary, F = Final.

Asset class forecasts and risk/reward



Source: Morgan Stanley Research. Note: 'Expected returns' based on MS Strategy 12m forecasts and current market prices. Correlation is six-month relative to global equities (MSCI ACWI). Credit returns are excess returns

Exhibit 22: Morgan Stanley key market forecasts

	As of Apr 26,	Q	ast	
	2019	Bear	Base	Bull
Equities				
S&P 500	2,940	2,400	2,750	3,000
MSCI Europe	1,609	1,190	1,500	1,860
Topix	1,618	1,300	1,800	2,100
MSCI EM	1,078	810	1,130	1,310
FX	· ·			
USD/JPY	112	97	102	107
EUR/USD	1.12	1.13	1.25	1.31
GBP/USD	1.29	1.40	1.52	1.60
AUD/USD	0.70	0.65	0.71	0.75
USD/INR	70.0	64.0	68.0	75.0
USD/ZAR	14.4	12.4	13.0	14.3
USD/BRL	3.93	3.10	3.50	4.00
Rates (% percent)				
UST 10yr	2.50	2.75	2.25	1.80
DBR 10yr	-0.02	0.90	0.50	0.00
UKT 10yr	1.14	1.90	1.65	1.10
JGB 10yr	-0.04	0.18	-0.05	-0.10
Credit (bps)				
US IG	114	205	153	97
US HY	390	816	564	346
EUR IG	62	150	110	80
EUR HY	374	615	500	390
Italy 10yr	261	390	260	170
EM Sovs	335	440	320	280
US CMBS AAA	85	125	100	80
Commodities				
Brent	72	67.5	77.5	87.5

Exhibit 23: 12m return and risk forecasts

	12m Return			Vola	Return/Risk	
	Bear		Bull	Option	LT	Base case
Asset	Case	Base Case	Case	Implied	Average	Return/Vol
Equities						
S&P 500	-16%	-4.6%	4%	14%	15%	-0.31
MSCI Europe	-22%	-3.0%	19%	14%	16%	-0.20
Topix	-17%	13.6%	32%	17%	20%	0.74
MSCI EM	-22%	• 7.5%	24%	19%	16%	0.43
FX						
JPY/USD	1%	6.5%	12%	6.7%	9.5%	0.81
EUR/USD	-2%	• 9.2%	15%	6.4%	9.2%	1.17
GBP/USD	7%	■ 16.0°	% 22%	8.4%	9.1%	1.84
AUD/USD	-9%	-0.1% 🕈	6%	8.1%	11.3%	-0.01
INR/USD	-2%	7.4%	14%	7.2%	7.5%	1.02
ZAR/USD	5%	■15.3°	% 21%	15.5%	16.0%	0.97
BRL/USD	1%	15.1%	30%	14.0%	15.5%	1.02
Rates						
UST 10yr	0.5%	4.8%	8.6%	5.0%	6.1%	0.87
DBR 10yr	-6.9%	-3.7%	0.8%	3.9%	5.0%	-0.82
UKT 10yr	-5.0% -3	8.0%	2.1%	5.3%	5.6%	-0.55
JGB 10yr	-1.6%	0.3%	0.7%	1.5%	2.3%	0.14
Credit (Excess I	Return)					
US IG	-5.4%	-2.0%	2.3%	3.2%	1.8%	-0.78
US HY	-17.4%	-5.1%	5.0%	6.3%	5.2%	-0.88
EUR IG	-3.9%	-1.9%	-0.4%	1.2%	1.6%	-1.36
EUR HY	-8.0%	-2.9%	2.0%	5.7%	4.6%	-0.57
Italy 10yr	-7.1%	2.7%	10.1%	7.4%	9.9%	0.31
EM Sovs	-4.3%	• 4.5%	5 7.7%	4.8%	6.4%	0.81
US CMBS AAA	-3.2%	-0.7%	1.4%	2.0%	6.5%	-0.16
Commodities						
Brent	-6%	• 7.4%	21%	25%	30%	0.27

Source: Note: Brent returns are vs.the forward. Source: Bloomberg, Morgan Stanley Research forecasts

Source: Markit, MSCI, Bloomberg, The Yield Book, Morgan Stanley Research forecasts

Morgan Stanley long-run returns forecasts

Exhibit 24: Morgan Stanley 10-year expected return forecasts across asset classes

	10Y Nominal E	xpected Rtns	Risk Premium			
	Current Z-score		Current	Z-score		
EQUITIES		-2 0 2		-2 0 2		
S&P 500	6.0	-	3.0	-		
MSCI Europe	6.8		6.2	-		
MSCI UK	9.4	-	8.0			
MSCI Japan	6.9	•	6.0	-		
MSCI EM	10.0	-	6.9			

	Current	Z-score	Current	Z-score
GOV'T BONDS		-2 0 2		-2 0 2
UST 5Y	2.9		0.9	
UST 10Y	2.9		0.9	
DBR 5Y	0.2		-0.8	
DBR 10Y	0.7		-0.4	
UKT 5Y	1.4		-1.8	
UKT 10Y	1.4		-1.9	
JGB 5Y	0.5		0.3	
JGB 10Y	0.9		0.7	
FIXED INCOME & CREDIT		-2 0 2		-2 0 2
USD Agg	2.9		0.0	
USD IG	3.4		0.5	-
USD HY	3.6	-	0.8	
USD BBB	3.6		0.7	
USD BB	3.6		0.7	
		-2 0 2		-2 0 2
EUR Agg	1.4		0.9	
EUR IG	0.6		0.3	
EUR HY	1.5		1.3	
EUR BBB	0.8		0.5	
EUR BB	1.4		1.2	
EM \$ CREDIT		-2 0 2		-2 0 2
Global	5.5		2.5	
Asia	3.9		1.0	

Source: Bloomberg, Morgan Stanley Research forecasts





Source: Morgan Stanley Research

Exhibit 26: ACWI CANARI 12M



IDEA

Source: Morgan Stanley Research

	CANARI				Avg Fwd Performance Given Bucket				% Better Than Avg Given Bucket			
	1M	3M	6M	12M	1M	3M	6M	12M	1M	3M	6M	12M
EQUITIES												
MSCI ACWI					0%				53%			
S&P 500						1%				46%		
MSCI Europe												
ΤΟΡΙΧ								-11%				17%
MSCI EM												
BONDS												
UST							17				30%	
DBR					2		17		42%		36%	
JGB							1				39%	
CREDIT								-				
US IG												
US HY												
EU IG												
EM \$												
US Securitized						2				33%		
FX			•				1					
DXY					-1%	1%			35%	62%		
EUR					0%				52%			
GBP					1%				59%			
JPY*							-6%				73%	
AUD												
COMMODITIES												
Gold												
Copper												
Crude					3%				58%			
As of: 2010-04-28												

As of: 2019-04-28

Note: For equity, we show price performance; bonds, yield change in bps, credit, spread change in bps

Source: Morgan Stanley Research; Note: Boxes with black border indicate that CANARI has triggered risk-positive or risk-negative. Red boxes indicate that CANARI is associated with worse-than-average forward returns; green is associated with better-than-average returns, "Next XM Performance" show realized performance from stated date. For USDBRL, average spot change is * as data break means averages over that horizon look extreme. 'Vs Avg' indicates Next XM Performance minus average performance up to stated date. Greyed out numbers indicate where the CANARI signal produced the 'wrong' signal, and realized performance was worse than average.

Exhibit 27: Morgan Stanley CANARIs

15

Appendix: Strategy Risk Factors

Buying calls or call spreads: Investors who buy call options risk loss of the entire premium paid if the underlying security finishes below the strike price at expiration. Investors who buy call spreads (buy a call and sell a further OTM call) also have a maximum loss of the entire up-front premium paid. The maximum gain from buying call spreads is the difference between the strike prices, less the upfront premium paid.

Buying puts or put spreads: Investors who buy put options risk loss of the entire premium paid if the underlying security finishes above the strike price at expiration. Investors who buy put spreads (buy a put and sell a further OTM put) also have a maximum loss of the upfront premium paid. The maximum gain from buying put spreads is the difference between the strike prices, less the upfront premium paid.

Selling calls: Investors who sell covered calls (own the underlying security and sell a call) risk limiting their upside to the strike price plus the upfront premium received and may have their security called away if the security price exceeds the strike price of the short call. Additionally, the investor has full downside exposure that is only partially offset by the upfront premium taken in. Investors short naked calls (i.e. sold calls but don't hold underlying security) risk unlimited losses of security price less strike price. Investors who sell naked call spreads (i.e. sell a call and buy a farther out-of-the-money call with no underlying security position) have a maximum loss of the difference between the long call strike and the short call strike, less the upfront premium taken in, if the underlying security finishes above the long call strike at expiration. The maximum gain is the upfront premium taken in, if the security finishes below the short call strike at expiration.

Selling puts: Put sellers commit to buying the underlying security at the strike price in the event the security falls below the strike price. The maximum loss is the full strike price less the premium received for selling the put. Put sellers who are also long a lower dollar-strike put face a maximum loss of the difference between the long and short put strikes less the options premium received.

Buying strangles: The maximum loss is the entire premium paid (put + call), if the security finishes between the put strike and the call strike at expiration.

Selling strangles or straddles: Investors who are long a security and short a strangle or straddle risk capping their upside in the security to the strike price of the call that is sold plus the upfront premium received. Additionally, if the security trades below the strike price of the short put, the investor risks losing the difference between the strike price and the security price (less the value of the premium received) on the short put and will also experience losses in the security position if he owns shares. The maximum potential loss is the full value of the strike price (less the value of the premium received) plus losses on the long security position. Investors who are short naked strangles or straddles have unlimited potential loss since if the security trades above the call strike price, the investor risks losing the difference between the strike price and the security price (less the value of the premium received) on the short call. Additionally, they are obligated to buy the security at the put strike price (less upfront premium received) if the security finishes below the put strike price at expiration. Strangle/straddle sellers risk assignment on short put positions that become in the money. Additionally, they risk having stock called away from short call positions that become in the money.

Options Disclaimer

Options are not for everyone. Before engaging in the purchasing or writing of options, investors should understand the nature and extent of their rights and obligations and be aware of the risks involved, including the risks pertaining to the business and financial condition of the issuer and the underlying stock. A secondary market may not exist for these securities. For customers of Morgan Stanley & Co. Incorporated who are purchasing or writing exchange-traded options, your attention is called to the publication "Characteristics and Risks of Standardized Options;" in particular, the statement entitled "Risks of Option Writers." That publication, which you should have read and understood prior to investing in options, can be viewed on the Web at the following address: http://www.optionsclearing.com/about/publications/character-risks.jsp. Spreading may also entail substantial commissions, because it involves at least twice the number of contracts as a long or short position and because spreads are almost invariably closed out prior to expiration. Potential investors should be advised that the tax treatment applicable to spread transactions should be carefully reviewed prior to entering into any transaction. Also, it should be pointed out that while the investor who engages in spread transactions may be reducing risk, he is also reducing his profit potential. The risk/ reward ratio, hence, is an important consideration.

The risk of exercise in a spread position is the same as that in a short position. Certain investors may be able to anticipate exercise and execute a "rollover" transaction. However, should exercise occur, it would clearly mark the end of the spread position and thereby change the risk/reward ratio. Due to early assignments of the short side of the spread, what appears to be a limited risk spread may have more risk than initially perceived. An investor with a spread position in index options that is assigned an exercise is at risk for any adverse movement in the current level between the time the settlement value is determined on the date when the exercise notice is filed with OCC and the time when such investor sells or exercises the long leg of the spread. Other multiple-option strategies involving cash settled options, including combinations and straddles, present similar risk.

Important information: Examples within are indicative only, please call your local Morgan Stanley Sales representative for current levels.

By selling an option, the seller receives a premium from the option purchaser, and the purchase receives the right to exercise the option at the strike price. If the option purchaser elects to exercise the option, the option seller is obligated to deliver/purchase the underlying shares to/from the option buyer at the strike price. If the option seller does not own the underlying security while maintaining the short option position (naked), the option seller is exposed to unlimited market risk.

Spreading may entail substantial commissions, because it involves at least twice the number of contracts as a long or short position and because spreads are almost invariably closed out prior to expiration. Potential investors should carefully review tax treatment applicable to spread transactions prior to entering into any transactions.

Multi-legged strategies are only effective if all components of a suggested trade are implemented.

Investors in long option strategies are at risk of losing all of their option premiums. Investors in short option strategies are at risk of unlimited losses.

There are special risks associated with uncovered option writing which expose the investor to potentially significant loss. Therefore, this type of strategy may not be suitable for all customers approved for options transactions. The potential loss of uncovered call writing is unlimited. The writer of an uncovered call is in an extremely risky position, and may incur large losses if the value of the underlying instrument increases above the exercise price.

As with writing uncovered calls, the risk of writing uncovered put options is substantial. The writer of an uncovered put option bears a risk of loss if the value of the underlying instrument declines below the exercise price. Such loss could be substantial if there is a significant decline in the value of the underlying instrument.

Uncovered option writing is thus suitable only for the knowledgeable investor who understands the risks, has the financial capacity and willingness to incur potentially substantial losses, and has sufficient liquid assets to meet applicable margin requirements. In this regard, if the value of the underlying instrument moves against an uncovered writer's options position, the investor's broker may request significant additional margin payments. If an investor does not make such margin payments, the broker may liquidate stock or options positions in the investor's account, with little or no prior notice in accordance with the investor's margin agreement.

For combination writing, where the investor writes both a put and a call on the same underlying instrument, the potential risk is unlimited.

If a secondary market in options were to become unavailable, investors could not engage in closing transactions, and an option writer would remain obligated until expiration or assignment.

The writer of an American-style option is subject to being assigned an exercise at any time after he has written the option until the option expires. By contrast, the writer of a European-style option is subject to exercise assignment only during the exercise period.

INF/

Disclosure Section

The information and opinions in Morgan Stanley Research were prepared or are disseminated by Morgan Stanley & Co. LLC and/or Morgan Stanley C.T.V.M. S.A. and/or Morgan Stanley México, Casa de Bolsa, S.A. de C.V. and/or Morgan Stanley Canada Limited and/or Morgan Stanley & Co. International plc and/or Morgan Stanley Europe S.E. and/or RMB Morgan Stanley Proprietary Limited and/or Morgan Stanley MUFG Securities Co., Ltd. and/or Morgan Stanley Capital Group Japan Co., Ltd. and/or Morgan Stanley Asia Limited and/or Morgan Stanley Asia (Singapore) Pte. (Registration number 1992062982) and/or Morgan Stanley Asia (Singapore) Securities Pte Ltd (Registration number 200008434H), regulated by the Monetary Authority of Singapore (which accepts legal responsibility for its contents and should be contacted with respect to any matters arising from, or in connection with, Morgan Stanley Research) and/or Morgan Stanley Taiwan Limited and/or Morgan Stanley & Co International plc, Seoul Branch, and/or Morgan Stanley Australia Limited (A.B.N. 67 003 734 576, holder of Australian financial services license No. 233742, which accepts responsibility for its contents), and/or Morgan Stanley Hudia Company Private Limited, regulated by the Securities and Exchange Board of India ("SEBI") and holder of licenses as a Research Analyst (SEBI Registration No. INM000011203), and depository participant with National Securities Depository Limited (SEBI Registration No. INP/INF231054231), Merchant Banker (SEBI Registration No. INM000011203), and depository participant with National Securities Depository Limited (SEBI Registration No. INPD-NSDL-372-2014) which accepts the responsibility for its contents and should be contacted with respect to any matters arising from, or in connection with, Morgan Stanley Ltd (A.B.N. 19 009 145 555, holder of Australian financial services license No. 240813, which accepts responsibility for its contents and holder of licenses as a Research Analyst (SEBI Registration No. INM000011203), and depository participant wi

For important disclosures, stock price charts and equity rating histories regarding companies that are the subject of this report, please see the Morgan Stanley Research Disclosure Website at www.morganstanley.com/researchdisclosures, or contact your investment representative or Morgan Stanley Research at 1585 Broadway, (Attention: Research Management), New York, NY, 10036 USA.

For valuation methodology and risks associated with any recommendation, rating or price target referenced in this research report, please contact the Client Support Team as follows: US/Canada +1 800 303-2495; Hong Kong +852 2848-5999; Latin America +1 718 754-5444 (U.S.); London +44 (0)20-7425-8169; Singapore +65 6834-6860; Sydney +61 (0)2-9770-1505; Tokyo +81 (0)3-6836-9000. Alternatively you may contact your investment representative or Morgan Stanley Research at 1585 Broadway, (Attention: Research Management), New York, NY 10036 USA.

Analyst Certification

The following analysts hereby certify that their views about the companies and their securities discussed in this report are accurately expressed and that they have not received and will not receive direct or indirect compensation in exchange for expressing specific recommendations or views in this report: Wanting Low, Phanikiran L Naraparaju; Naomi Z Poole; Andrew Sheets; Serena W Tang; Adam Virgadamo, CFA.

Unless otherwise stated, the individuals listed on the cover page of this report are research analysts.

Global Research Conflict Management Policy

Morgan Stanley Research has been published in accordance with our conflict management policy, which is available at

www.morganstanley.com/institutional/research/conflictpolicies. A Portuguese version of the policy can be found at www.morganstanley.com.br

Important US Regulatory Disclosures on Subject Companies

The equity research analysts or strategists principally responsible for the preparation of Morgan Stanley Research have received compensation based upon various factors, including quality of research, investor client feedback, stock picking, competitive factors, firm revenues and overall investment banking revenues. Equity Research analysts' or strategists' compensation is not linked to investment banking or capital markets transactions performed by Morgan Stanley or the profitability or revenues of particular trading desks.

Morgan Stanley and its affiliates do business that relates to companies/instruments covered in Morgan Stanley Research, including market making, providing liquidity, fund management, commercial banking, extension of credit, investment services and investment banking. Morgan Stanley sells to and buys from customers the securities/instruments of companies covered in Morgan Stanley Research on a principal basis. Morgan Stanley may have a position in the debt of the Company or instruments discussed in this report. Morgan Stanley trades or may trade as principal in the debt securities (or in related derivatives) that are the subject of the debt research report.

Certain disclosures listed above are also for compliance with applicable regulations in non-US jurisdictions.

STOCK RATINGS

Morgan Stanley uses a relative rating system using terms such as Overweight, Equal-weight, Not-Rated or Underweight (see definitions below). Morgan Stanley does not assign ratings of Buy, Hold or Sell to the stocks we cover. Overweight, Equal-weight, Not-Rated and Underweight are not the equivalent of buy, hold and sell. Investors should carefully read the definitions of all ratings used in Morgan Stanley Research. In addition, since Morgan Stanley Research contains more complete information concerning the analyst's views, investors should carefully read Morgan Stanley Research, in its entirety, and not infer the contents from the rating alone. In any case, ratings (or research) should not be used or relied upon as investment advice. An investor's decision to buy or sell a stock should depend on individual circumstances (such as the investor's existing holdings) and other considerations.

Global Stock Ratings Distribution

(as of March 31, 2019)

The Stock Ratings described below apply to Morgan Stanley's Fundamental Equity Research and do not apply to Debt Research produced by the Firm. For disclosure purposes only (in accordance with NASD and NYSE requirements), we include the category headings of Buy, Hold, and Sell alongside our ratings of Overweight, Equal-weight, Not-Rated and Underweight. Morgan Stanley does not assign ratings of Buy, Hold or Sell to the stocks we cover. Overweight, Equal-weight, Not-Rated and Underweight are not the equivalent of buy, hold, and sell but represent recommended relative weightings (see definitions below). To satisfy regulatory requirements, we correspond Overweight, our most positive stock rating, with a buy recommendation; we correspond Equal-weight and Not-Rated to hold and Underweight to sell recommendations, respectively.

	COVERAGE UI	NVERSE	INVESTMEN	T BANKING CL	OTHER MATERIAL INVESTMENT SERVICES CLIENTS (MISC)		
STOCK RATING	COUNT	% OF	COUNT	% OF	% OF	COUNT	% OF
CATEGORY		TOTAL		TOTAL IBC	RATING		TOTAL
					CATEGORY		OTHER
							MISC
Overweight/Buy	1126	36%	304	43%	27%	529	38%
Equal-weight/Hold	1394	44%	319	45%	23%	643	46%
Not-Rated/Hold	46	1%	5	1%	11%	6	0%
Underweight/Sell	593	19%	82	12%	14%	227	16%
TOTAL	3,159		710			1405	

Data include common stock and ADRs currently assigned ratings. Investment Banking Clients are companies from whom Morgan Stanley received investment banking compensation in the last 12 months. Due to rounding off of decimals, the percentages provided in the "% of total" column may not add up to exactly 100 percent.

Analyst Stock Ratings

Overweight (O or Over) - The stock's total return is expected to exceed the total return of the relevant country MSCI Index or the average total return of the analyst's industry (or industry team's) coverage universe, on a risk-adjusted basis over the next 12-18 months.

Equal-weight (E or Equal) - The stock's total return is expected to be in line with the total return of the relevant country MSCI Index or the average total return of the analyst's industry (or industry team's) coverage universe, on a risk-adjusted basis over the next 12-18 months.

Not-Rated (NR) - Currently the analyst does not have adequate conviction about the stock's total return relative to the relevant country MSCI Index or the average total return of the analyst's industry (or industry team's) coverage universe, on a risk-adjusted basis, over the next 12-18 months.

Underweight (U or Under) - The stock's total return is expected to be below the total return of the relevant country MSCI Index or the average total return of the analyst's industry (or industry team's) coverage universe, on a risk-adjusted basis, over the next 12-18 months.

Unless otherwise specified, the time frame for price targets included in Morgan Stanley Research is 12 to 18 months.

Analyst Industry Views

Attractive (A): The analyst expects the performance of his or her industry coverage universe over the next 12-18 months to be attractive vs. the relevant broad market benchmark, as indicated below.

In-Line (I): The analyst expects the performance of his or her industry coverage universe over the next 12-18 months to be in line with the relevant broad market benchmark, as indicated below.

Cautious (C): The analyst views the performance of his or her industry coverage universe over the next 12-18 months with caution vs. the relevant broad market benchmark, as indicated below.

Benchmarks for each region are as follows: North America - S&P 500; Latin America - relevant MSCI country index or MSCI Latin America Index; Europe - MSCI Europe; Japan - TOPIX; Asia - relevant MSCI country index or MSCI sub-regional index or MSCI AC Asia Pacific ex Japan Index.

Important Disclosures for Morgan Stanley Smith Barney LLC Customers

Important disclosures regarding the relationship between the companies that are the subject of Morgan Stanley Research and Morgan Stanley Smith Barney LLC or Morgan Stanley or any of their affiliates, are available on the Morgan Stanley Wealth Management disclosure website at

www.morganstanley.com/online/researchdisclosures. For Morgan Stanley specific disclosures, you may refer to

www.morganstanley.com/researchdisclosures.

Each Morgan Stanley Equity Research report is reviewed and approved on behalf of Morgan Stanley Smith Barney LLC. This review and approval is conducted by the same person who reviews the Equity Research report on behalf of Morgan Stanley. This could create a conflict of interest.

Other Important Disclosures

Morgan Stanley Research policy is to update research reports as and when the Research Analyst and Research Management deem appropriate, based on developments with the issuer, the sector, or the market that may have a material impact on the research views or opinions stated therein. In addition, certain Research publications are intended to be updated on a regular periodic basis (weekly/monthly/quarterly/annual) and will ordinarily be updated with that frequency, unless the Research Analyst and Research Management determine that a different publication schedule is appropriate based on current conditions. Morgan Stanley is not acting as a municipal advisor and the opinions or views contained herein are not intended to be, and do not constitute, advice within the meaning of Section 975 of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act.

Morgan Stanley produces an equity research product called a "Tactical Idea." Views contained in a "Tactical Idea" on a particular stock may be contrary to the recommendations or views expressed in research on the same stock. This may be the result of differing time horizons, methodologies, market events, or other factors. For all research available on a particular stock, please contact your sales representative or go to Matrix at http://www.morganstanley.com/matrix.

Morgan Stanley Research is provided to our clients through our proprietary research portal on Matrix and also distributed electronically by Morgan Stanley to clients. Certain, but not all, Morgan Stanley Research products are also made available to clients through third-party vendors or redistributed to clients through alternate electronic means as a convenience. For access to all available Morgan Stanley Research, please contact your sales representative or go to Matrix at http://www.morganstanley.com/matrix.

Any access and/or use of Morgan Stanley Research is subject to Morgan Stanley's Terms of Use (http://www.morganstanley.com/terms.html). By accessing and/or using Morgan Stanley Research, you are indicating that you have read and agree to be bound by our Terms of Use

(http://www.morganstanley.com/terms.html). In addition you consent to Morgan Stanley processing your personal data and using cookies in accordance with our Privacy Policy and our Global Cookies Policy (http://www.morganstanley.com/privacy_pledge.html), including for the purposes of setting your preferences and to collect readership data so that we can deliver better and more personalized service and products to you. To find out more information about how Morgan Stanley processes personal data, how we use cookies and how to reject cookies see our Privacy Policy and our Global Cookies Policy (http://www.morganstanley.com/privacy_pledge.html).

If you do not agree to our Terms of Use and/or if you do not wish to provide your consent to Morgan Stanley processing your personal data or using cookies please do not access our research.

Morgan Stanley Research does not provide individually tailored investment advice. Morgan Stanley Research has been prepared without regard to the

circumstances and objectives of those who receive it. Morgan Stanley recommends that investors independently evaluate particular investments and strategies, and encourages investors to seek the advice of a financial adviser. The appropriateness of an investment or strategy will depend on an investor's circumstances and objectives. The securities, instruments, or strategies discussed in Morgan Stanley Research may not be suitable for all investors, and certain investors may not be eligible to purchase or participate in some or all of them. Morgan Stanley Research is not an offer to buy or sell or the solicitation of an offer to buy or sell any security/instrument or to participate in any particular trading strategy. The value of and income from your investments may vary because of changes in interest rates, foreign exchange rates, default rates, prepayment rates, securities/instruments prices, market indexes, operational or financial conditions of companies or other factors. There may be time limitations on the exercise of options or other rights in securities/instruments transactions. Past performance is not necessarily a guide to future performance. Estimates of future performance are based on assumptions that may not be realized. If provided, and unless otherwise stated, the closing price on the cover page is that of the primary exchange for the subject company's securities/instruments.

The fixed income research analysts, strategists or economists principally responsible for the preparation of Morgan Stanley Research have received compensation based upon various factors, including quality, accuracy and value of research, firm profitability or revenues (which include fixed income trading and capital markets profitability or revenues), client feedback and competitive factors. Fixed Income Research analysts', strategists' or economists' compensation is not linked to investment banking or capital markets transactions performed by Morgan Stanley or the profitability or revenues of particular trading desks.

The "Important US Regulatory Disclosures on Subject Companies" section in Morgan Stanley Research lists all companies mentioned where Morgan Stanley owns 1% or more of a class of common equity securities of the companies. For all other companies mentioned in Morgan Stanley Research, Morgan Stanley may have an investment of less than 1% in securities/instruments or derivatives of securities/instruments of companies and may trade them in ways different from those discussed in Morgan Stanley Research. Employees of Morgan Stanley not involved in the preparation of Morgan Stanley Research may have investments in securities/instruments or derivatives of securities/instruments of companies mentioned and may trade them in ways different from those discussed in Morgan Stanley Research. Derivatives may be issued by Morgan Stanley or associated persons.

With the exception of information regarding Morgan Stanley, Morgan Stanley Research is based on public information. Morgan Stanley makes every effort to use reliable, comprehensive information, but we make no representation that it is accurate or complete. We have no obligation to tell you when opinions or information in Morgan Stanley Research change apart from when we intend to discontinue equity research coverage of a subject company. Facts and views presented in Morgan Stanley Research have not been reviewed by, and may not reflect information known to, professionals in other Morgan Stanley business areas, including investment banking personnel.

Morgan Stanley Research personnel may participate in company events such as site visits and are generally prohibited from accepting payment by the company of associated expenses unless pre-approved by authorized members of Research management.

Morgan Stanley may make investment decisions that are inconsistent with the recommendations or views in this report.

To our readers based in Taiwan or trading in Taiwan securities/instruments: Information on securities/instruments that trade in Taiwan is distributed by Morgan Stanley Taiwan Limited ("MSTL"). Such information is for your reference only. The reader should independently evaluate the investment risks and is solely responsible for their investment decisions. Morgan Stanley Research may not be distributed to the public media or quoted or used by the public media without the express written consent of Morgan Stanley. Any non-customer reader within the scope of Article 7-1 of the Taiwan Stock Exchange Recommendation Regulations accessing and/or receiving Morgan Stanley Research is not permitted to provide Morgan Stanley Research to any third party (including but not limited to related parties, affiliated companies and any other third parties) or engage in any activities regarding Morgan Stanley Research which may create or give the appearance of creating a conflict of interest. Information on securities/instruments that do not trade in Taiwan is for informational purposes only and is not to be construed as a recommendation or a solicitation to trade in such securities/instruments. MSTL may not execute transactions for clients in these securities/instruments.

Certain information in Morgan Stanley Research was sourced by employees of the Shanghai Representative Office of Morgan Stanley Asia Limited for the use of Morgan Stanley Asia Limited.

Morgan Stanley is not incorporated under PRC law and the research in relation to this report is conducted outside the PRC. Morgan Stanley Research does not constitute an offer to sell or the solicitation of an offer to buy any securities in the PRC. PRC investors shall have the relevant qualifications to invest in such securities and shall be responsible for obtaining all relevant approvals, licenses, verifications and/or registrations from the relevant governmental authorities themselves. Neither this report nor any part of it is intended as, or shall constitute, provision of any consultancy or advisory service of securities investment as defined under PRC law. Such information is provided for your reference only.

Morgan Stanley Research is disseminated in Brazil by Morgan Stanley C.T.V.M. S.A. located at Av. Brigadeiro Faria Lima, 3600, 6th floor, São Paulo - SP, Brazil; and is regulated by the Comissão de Valores Mobiliários; in Mexico by Morgan Stanley México, Casa de Bolsa, S.A. de C.V which is regulated by Comision Nacional Bancaria y de Valores. Paseo de los Tamarindos 90, Torre 1, Col. Bosques de las Lomas Floor 29, 05120 Mexico City; in Japan by Morgan Stanley MUFG Securities Co., Ltd. and, for Commodities related research reports only, Morgan Stanley Capital Group Japan Co., Ltd; in Hong Kong by Morgan Stanley Asia Limited (which accepts responsibility for its contents) and by Morgan Stanley Asia International Limited, Hong Kong Branch; in Singapore by Morgan Stanley Asia (Singapore) Pte. (Registration number 199206298Z) and/or Morgan Stanley Asia (Singapore) Securities Pte Ltd (Registration number 200008434H), regulated by the Monetary Authority of Singapore (which accepts legal responsibility for its contents and should be contacted with respect to any matters arising from, or in connection with, Morgan Stanley Research) and by Morgan Stanley Asia International Limited, Singapore Branch (Registration number T11FC0207F); in Australia to "wholesale clients" within the meaning of the Australian Corporations Act by Morgan Stanley Australia Limited A.B.N. 67 003 734 576, holder of Australian financial services license No. 233742, which accepts responsibility for its contents; in Australia to "wholesale clients" and "retail clients" within the meaning of the Australian Corporations Act by Morgan Stanley Wealth Management Australia Pty Ltd (A.B.N. 19 009 145 555, holder of Australian financial services license No. 240813, which accepts responsibility for its contents; in Korea by Morgan Stanley & Co International plc, Seoul Branch; in India by Morgan Stanley India Company Private Limited; in Indonesia by PT. Morgan Stanley Sekuritas Indonesia; in Canada by Morgan Stanley Canada Limited, which has approved of and takes responsibility for its contents in Canada; in Germany and the European Economic Area where required by Morgan Stanley Europe S.E., regulated by Bundesanstalt fuer Finanzdienstleistungsaufsicht (BaFin); in Spain by Morgan Stanley, S.V., S.A., a Morgan Stanley group company, which is supervised by the Spanish Securities Markets Commission (CNMV) and states that Morgan Stanley Research has been written and distributed in accordance with the rules of conduct applicable to financial research as established under Spanish regulations; in the US by Morgan Stanley & Co. LLC, which accepts responsibility for its contents. Morgan Stanley & Co. International plc, authorized by the Prudential Regulatory Authority and regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority and the Prudential Regulatory Authority, disseminates in the UK research that it has prepared, and approves solely for the purposes of section 21 of the Financial Services and Markets Act 2000, research which has been prepared by any of its affiliates. RMB Morgan Stanley Proprietary Limited is a member of the JSE Limited and regulated by the Financial Services Board in South Africa. RMB Morgan Stanley Proprietary Limited is a joint venture owned equally by Morgan Stanley International Holdings Inc. and RMB Investment Advisory (Proprietary) Limited, which is wholly owned by FirstRand Limited. The information in Morgan Stanley Research is being disseminated by Morgan Stanley Saudi Arabia, regulated by the Capital Market Authority in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia, and is directed at Sophisticated investors only. The information in Morgan Stanley Research is being communicated by Morgan Stanley & Co. International plc (DIFC Branch), regulated by the Dubai Financial Services Authority (the DFSA), and is directed at Professional Clients only, as defined by the DFSA. The financial products or financial services to which this research relates will only be made available to a customer who we are satisfied meets the regulatory criteria to be a Professional Client. The information in Morgan Stanley Research is being communicated by Morgan Stanley & Co. International plc (QFC Branch), regulated by the Qatar Financial Centre Regulatory Authority (the QFCRA), and is directed at business customers and market counterparties only and is not intended for Retail Customers as defined by the QFCRA.



As required by the Capital Markets Board of Turkey, investment information, comments and recommendations stated here, are not within the scope of investment advisory activity. Investment advisory service is provided exclusively to persons based on their risk and income preferences by the authorized firms. Comments and recommendations stated here are general in nature. These opinions may not fit to your financial status, risk and return preferences. For this reason, to make an investment decision by relying solely to this information stated here may not bring about outcomes that fit your expectations. The trademarks and service marks contained in Morgan Stanley Research are the property of their respective owners. Third-party data providers make no warranties or representations relating to the accuracy, completeness, or timeliness of the data they provide and shall not have liability for any damages relating to such data. The Global Industry Classification Standard (GICS) was developed by and is the exclusive property of MSCI and S&P. Morgan Stanley Research may not be reprinted, sold or redistributed without the written consent of Morgan Stanley. Indicators and trackers referenced in Morgan Stanley Research may not be used as, or treated as, a benchmark under Regulation EU 2016/1011, or any other similar framework.

© 2019 Morgan Stanley